



Mircea Digulescu
Achieve your full potential ...

Mircea Digulescu clarifies some matters related to his approach to romantic relationships, sexuality and policies with regards to compliance with law

01 Jun 2021
Yerevan, Armenia

updated 01 Sep 2024

Note: This document was updated slightly since its 2021 release (when it was authored at the beginning of a vacation), mainly in terms of formulations. This is because it is intended to reach a wider public audience, who, apparently are struggling more with existential ("will versus will not") and conditional quantifiers ("if this than that but not elsehow") and much moreso with probabilistic and fuzzy logic ("I might", "some of", etc.). Some generally tended to understand the opposite of what was intended (mainly to reaffirm their prior prejudgments psychologically). Therefore, the document was slightly rephrased.

Given that Mircea Digulescu is a vivid pro-liberty, anti-Western activist, is politically involved and pursuing military-technical cooperation with several countries, he felt it would be useful to him to clarify a few matters related to related to his own sexuality, approach to romantic and other interpersonal relationships and policy for compliance with local law. This is particularly relevant in the context of him being attacked via sleeper agents, mafia groups and traitor networks on both friendly and enemy controlled territory.

This document is also published as a way to preempt and disarm any potential attempts at insinuation, "silent conviction" of himself in the minds of idiots, both armed and unarmed and also to serve as a central and official reference point so that he does not have to repeat and clarify such matters to each individual - idiot or not - person in the future.

The best way to introduce the information is in the Q&A format. Therefore, please stand informed of the following.



1. What is your approach to romantic or other intimate relationships?

I am polyamorous. This means I openly romantically love several girls concomitantly (so at the same time, not in succession), while also openly endorsing the fact that some of them also romantically love several partners concomitantly, including while being in a romantic relationship with me.

Note that this does not mean I love all girls whom I love the same way, nor to the same intensity. Each has her own individual flavor to me – both of how I love her, and of her place in my romantic side of the brain. Also, while I seek to have sex with most of the girls whom I love, this is not something which needs to be present, or which needs to happen immediately.

And yes, polyamorous relationships (not to mention unilateral polyamorous love), do exist and function. I myself, currently love very deeply about 5-10 girls, whom I thus call [systemic or grade q0] “synonymouas” [with the meaning of the definition of love], as a loving pet-name, reminding me and them of Anca, the girl for whom I accidentally created this new word “synonymous” [with the meaning of the definition of love], and whom I loved as if the others did not exist. Which, as it is obvious, does not entail I love my other synonymouas only superficially or something. Not at all. To the contrary.

Note that unlike the unrequited love for Anca (with whom the desire for a relationship also ended circa 2023 after meeting her again after about 15 years), I have had a reciprocated, bilateral relationship with at least two of my q0 synonymouas, namely Tanya and Syuzi. I also had a couple relationship with another three synonymouas of lesser attractiveness (Simona – q1, Alina - q3 and Diana – q4). My longest couple relationship lasted 3 years (and the girl lived at my place at the time).

If you are curious about some details, see my “Unified Central Registry of Synonymouas” (Единственный Регистр Синонимок) here: <http://spiritualitate-iubire.blogspot.com/2021/05/something-nice-registrul-unic.html> (in Romanian). Note that there was an extensive amount of fictional content related to vacationing which was removed from the registry in 2024, along side most iliquid synoyomous entries.

See a screenshot with the first page of the Registry here, in case you are curious, but do not wish to consult the link above.



Browser window showing a Google Sheet titled "Registru Unic Consolidat de Sinonime". The sheet contains a table with columns for Name, Gender, Date of Birth, and other details. The table lists various individuals with their respective information.

Numar	Nume	Sex	Data nasterii	Indicativ participare	Indicative asociatie	Data asociatie	Email / Telefon	Data asociatie	Comentarii	Comentarii	Diurna	Diurna2
1	Adina	F					Email: anda.barbu@gmail.com; Telefon: +40720 36 04 04		Tasa F2 (sa creste "si se...") Asociatie: For. Procesul de restructurare regionala a economiei. Tipul de asociatie: Asociatie de interes comun. Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun. Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun. Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun.			
2	Olivia Ghigara	F		2	0		Email: (redacted); Telefon: (redacted); LinkedIn: (redacted)	2018 Feb. 01	Tasa Casellei Sinonime. Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun. Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun. Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun.	Casellea (2022-May). Nume actual: (redacted) (2021-May). Nume actual: (redacted) (2021-May). Nume actual: (redacted) (2021-May).		
3	Tanya	F		0			Email: (redacted); Telefon: (redacted); Instagram: (redacted)	2018 Dec. 01	Tasa: Misa restructurarea. Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun. Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun. Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun.			
4	DA			0			Nume complet: Diana Elena Popa; Data nasterii: 02.08.2000; Adresa: (redacted); Telefon: +40720 713 993; Email: (redacted)	2020 Dec. 01	Tasa: Ritazoa. Asociatie: Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun. Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun. Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun.			
5	Tegan Mauer	F					Telefon: (redacted)	2015 Jun. 01	Tasa: Tegan Mauer. Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun. Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun. Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun.			
6	Olivia Misa Popescu	F		0	0			2018 Aug. 01	Tasa: Tegan Mauer. Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun. Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun. Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun.			
7	DA			100-49-49-088-1890					Comentarii: Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun. Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun. Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun.			
8	Laura Parca	F					Email: (redacted); Telefon: (redacted)	2004-2005-01	Tasa de Asociatie. Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun. Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun. Asociatia este formata din persoane care au in comun toate elementele de interes comun.			

2. In your opinion, what is the single most important aspect to make polyamorous relationship successful?

Well, firstly I want to remind everyone that most organisms, including humans are naturally polyamorous. Nevertheless, having multiple polyamorous relationships is, of course, much more complicated than just passively loving several persons at once.

The crucial elements to consider for a polyamorous relationship are:

1. **Being open and bluntly frank about jealousy.** This means talking about, both bilaterally and multilaterally, about situations and dynamics of "statuses" which affect the individual bilateral relationship. Pretending that jealousy did not exist in polyamorous relationships, or simply trying to "put it under the rug" and not talk about, is a sure recipe for conflict, frustration and perhaps even open warfare (including that of an illegal and/or physical nature). In particular that, while in general what people from both sexes want is compatible and comple-



mentary (sometimes even 100% the same), there are also many cases in each of our lives, when we “want more/less out of a relationship” (in some direction: sex, romantic, number of hours spend talking, etc.), while the other party wants the opposite. Also, we might love someone much more intensely than they love us: for them, we can be like number #312, while she or he for us can be like #1. Furthermore, our “worse enemy” might be #1 to them. That’s it. It’s their absolute right to feel this way and act on it, regardless of “how deeply we feel about them”, regardless of our own feelings, regardless “if they hurt us” or not with this, and regardless in general. Talk about it, and put it in the open. Then, if there is something mutually desired in relationing on this terms (which is almost always the case, when understanding and respecting the zone of common interest) do it. If not, that’s that: the fact someone is #1 to you, or even that you yourself were #1 to them for a very long time, does not entitle anyone to anything. It can all change “in the wink of an eye”. So don’t be demanding – especially implicitly: that is the biggest enemy. It leads to deviations from the Truth, to resentment and disintegration of intimacy.

- 2. Asserting absolute individual liberty and fully respecting that of the partners**. I don’t really get it why sometimes people who have been in a relationship with someone (or several persons) for a longer period of time, acting within the same bilateral or multilateral “cultural status” start to believe they were ‘entitled’ to that status-quo, or that any of the other partners in the relationship (and sometimes, worse still, external parties), had any ‘obligation’, ‘duty’ – even of an informal nature, of any kind, as a result of that situation over that elapsed timeframe. This is particularly true for many boyfriend-girlfriend or husband-wife relationship – more so when such are only monogamous and not polyamorous or at least polygamous -, and especially when the parties have been living together in the same housing unit, or have had children together, who are still young. **People must understand that none of this – and nothing – ‘entitles’ them to consider that any current or former partner of theirs (and much less so the external parties) had any obligation, of any kind.** In particular, for example, the wife in a freshly wedded couple, with a newly created 1 year old child, is as free to start or continue to have sex with other men, to seek to seduce or be seduced romantically by other men, to move out and live with them if she desires it, to court and be courted by others – just as much as she did before she had ever met her husband. This includes engaging in actions which aim, or can lead to her “moving up” to a better relationship and dumping her then-current husband altogether, “right then and there”. And she is not re-



quired “to get divorce first” (the husband can get a divorce himself if he is not satisfied with the relationship). Even in jurisdictions where the marriage contracts entails sexual monogamy – a breach of this absurd and evil obligation, is a mere civil matter – thus entailing pecuniary (money) remedy at most. The party who feels his or her rights were breached, can, at most, seek via the judicial system to receive the compensation provided for in this civil contract (marriage contract) via the court system, via court bailiffs and so on (to the extent that bailiffs can execute his or her monetary assets, just like with a bank credit). And, of course, while doing this, he or she has no additionally ‘rights’ to anything: they do not have the right “to see” their spousal partners, against their will, nor to “require” anything of them or others (eg. that they refrain from having sex with others, before the divorce was concluded or pecuniary civil damages covered; that their partners “clarified” their intention to them with regards to their own “status”, etc.). Once an atmosphere of almost absolute safety and liberty is achieved (and thus **accepted** by the parties, even if they still don’t like it), wonders start to happen. Before significant progress is made on this front, no. Note that it is vital to also assert one’s on liberty (no need to be rude though) – so not to “silently suffer”, in order to “protect the feelings of the other” or anything, or, a situation giving rise to criminal liability of the gravest nature, due to fear of illegitimate violence on behalf of the other.

3. **Understanding that relationships are dynamic.** That a status-quo of emotions, sentiments and perception between even a single pair is in vivid dynamic. Sometimes one partner after 1-2 years wants “more” from one of his or her polyamorous partners, while another is content for the prior status-quo (or even less), and is bothered by desires to escalate it of the other. And I mean this with regards to “some particular edge” in a polyamorous graph of people – although it just as well applies even to exclusive 1-1 relationships. Just like with jealousy and absolute liberty points #1-2 above, when the “zone of common interest” changes, talk about it. And if no suitable practical arrangement can be achieved, no one is obligated to continue the relationship. In particular, girls, don’t annoy the men you initially wanted “just for sex” or “just for money” with more, in case they don’t want it. You will lose even the former in this case.
4. **Nurturing good relations with your partners’ other partners.** This means men getting along with the other lovers of their lovers (including those lovers of theirs who are their wives), and (for some reason much hard in practice it seems), girls getting along with the other girls who are lovers, loved by or love their partners. In particular there must be a feeling of absolute safety. But not



only.. consider the acronym "sAc" and the meaning for which it stands. Not to mention "sMca".

5. **Polyamorous relationships require deep intimacy between partners.** Casual sex can hardly be called polyamory. That's easy. Just like man+mistresses_while_wife+tennis_coach could be called such. I am not talking about sex – although sex should be present in any loving relationship between the sexes, when such attractiveness exists (even unilaterally). But for deep and meaningful relationships to become polyamorous, the partners really need to be secure with themselves, to know each other well and, of course, to constantly remind themselves that "it can all end in an instant", and in such case there should be "no hard feelings" (sadness or regrets are permitted, though). Just as per points #1-#4 above.

One more thing: **Polyamory is about having more, without compromising anything at all.** If you feel you are "giving up something", no matter how small (eg. having sex with your muscular mindless brute) in order "to get this" (ie. marriage to the men you love as if all the others did not exist), you are doing something wrong. It's probably to do with lack of communication (point #1 above), and lack of mediation between your partners (point #4 above). Neither men nor women need to compromise anything – in particular not marriage nor having children, in order to enjoy the benefits of being polyamorous. We men are more naturally normally minded when it comes to sex for example – generally, unless we feel we risk "losing the relationship with you" (which is btw, an illegitimate concern, as per point #2 above), and also feel safe we will not be attacked on the street or while having sex with you, by 'some former boyfriends of yours', nor that we will be 'legally prosecuted' or the like, then we are not really bothered by you having sex with others. We do it all the time, and, as you well know, it is generally inconsequential (beneficial most often even) for you, in the context of the "bilateral edge". Also, do be mindful that people have no obligation to be polyamorous. If they want you to be monogamous and you refuse (or worse, you just lie to them that you are, thus committing romantic fraud), they have every right to dump you, as per point #2 above – just as you have every right to dump them if for example you wish an exclusive relationship and the other party refuses or does not provide it in practice.

3. Do polyamorous relationships involve multiple sexual partners also?

Yes. Categorically. If one refrains from having sex with whomever they love and who also wants to have sex with them, then the result is not polyamory, but a prison, worse than enslavement.



Also note that having sex with multiple partners should not be an obligation. What I mean is that under no circumstance should love ever be confined to being "platonic". When there is sexual attraction of course. Bilateral preferably. But the situation where a girl "longingly desires for the cute kid who paints her house blue" but is "silently suffering" because her relationship with her husband whom she loves much, much more is "way more important to her", is a matter of absolute stupidity and falls outside the meaning of polyamory. Note that in the above example by "longingly desires" I don't mean just sex – love, children and the like, could also be included.

Finally, note that sex itself does not entail love. So love => sex, but the opposite, sex => love is not necessarily true. It has always been my position, that one can and should go to a brothel (massage parole) or have a "recreational therapist" render sexual services to him or her, just as one goes to get a haircut, or get her nails done. Of course, especially for girls, when this arrangement runs the risk of having the converse (sex => love) become true, then, naturally, you should be open and talk about it, as per points #1, #2 and #4.

Sex and love are not "intertwined". This is just a stupid attitude of some people (of either gender) who cannot or do not want to deal with emotional complexity. But, it is also true that both sex and love, and love and sex, are interconnected. So, one could say, that the phenomenology concerning them is fully distinct, but not disjoint.

4. What are your sexual preferences?

I am an exclusively heterosexual male. I like stuff like BDSM, mostly in the dominant role, I like giving facials (although one might list that as BDSM), and I also like emotional play, in the active role (me instilling emotional play upon the girl).

Other than the above, I have a very open sexuality. And I also like to accommodate some of my partners, whom I like. For example giving oral sex is really not something I like – but when the girl wants it, if she is cute, I have no reticence to offer it, even if not in itself joyful to me.

So, **to list a few things I like** (as per my Fetlife profile, btw): nto: " i love you, but i will hurt you." (giving), "don't you dare fucking move" (giving), "hold still...you're going to like this" (giving), "shut the fuck up and bend over" (giving), "the sound of rain while cuddling on the bed" (everything to do with it), a t-shirt but no bra (watching



others wear), bare bottom spanking (giving), bare handed spanking (everything to do with it), being spanked to tears & cuddled after (giving), belt spanking (giving), big breasts (watching others wear), candle wax (giving), caning (giving), cuddling (everything to do with it), cumming all over a pretty face (giving), discipline (giving), facials (giving), getting pants pulled down for a spanking (giving), hairbrush spanking (giving), intelligence (everything to do with it), otk spanking (everything to do with it), sensual caning (giving), severe caning (giving), spanking (giving), spanking to tears (giving), spanking, paddling, caning, strap, discipline (giving), stockings (watching others wear), wax play (giving), gor (everything to do with it), gorean (everything to do with it), gorean slave positions (everything to do with it), gorean slave positions (everything to do with it). You can also see my survey results here <https://bdsmttest.org/r/690473> – although I do not endorse them 100%.

In terms of what I don't like, that is: public play (I want intimacy [not only safety], sorry), cuckolding (I am exclusively heterosexual, sorry), consensual non-consent (this is due to some historical misunderstandings with regards to my main synonymous – maybe sometime in the future yes; now - no).

Note that by public play – I mean in public. Places like private forests or beaches are not necessarily public. Also, group sex, like for example me with several girls is not necessarily public play: in fact, I often times enjoy such arrangements (at least twice already in my life so far).

Finally, I do like to see and make girls “lose their minds” in a sexual context, both with pain and pleasure. I only once actually had the pleasure of observing the eyes of a partner “go in opposite directions” while having sex – and it was nice.

5. What is the single most important thing for you in sex?

Consent. As simple as that. Full consent. And by that I mean that the partner and partners all consent fully to what is going on. And not anybody else having any say in that. Note that by consent I mean in the semantic sense, not under any ‘legal alteration / aberration’. In particular yes, idiots, fools (mentally ill people), children of any age and in particular anyone (thus including apes or other animals if you like), can consent.

Also, by full consent I mean “consent to what is happening then and there”, for example as opposed to putting one's clothes back on and leaving. Stuff like “I thought we will get married if we had sex”, or “but you are my boss/teacher” or “I really



needed the money” or “I was exploited” and generally the why in why you consented is fully irrelevant. Of course, I don’t want to get into absurd level of detail, like when consenting because of threat of imminent violence against yourself or others (like for example the case with US CIA military-men operating in Syria under the brand of Dash who burned some girls at the stake in order to intimidate others into becoming sex slaves). Consent is clear: if you can walk up and leave, and you are aware of this, and yet you don’t, you are consenting. Thus, to clarify, I suspect that most, if not all girls who provided sexual services in the context of Jeffery Epstein’s enterprise did in fact consent (and the overwhelming majority of them even had a great time I am sure – traveling to exotic places and meeting celebrities in their naked states). And that now some of them are just being used by some transiently powerful men (from the Western hegemonic elite – so from Rothschild and the rest I mean), in the context of the war effort, on the media front, to attack rivals, like Donald Trump and other non-affiliated entrepreneurs, military men, scientists, media people and, of course, spokespersons (ie. politicians).

6. What are your sexual preferences in terms of partners?

The core preference is for sexually attractive girls, aged 19-21, having a cute or pretty face, preferably “puppy face” with pronounced cheeks and nose, heavy lips and eyebrows and typically enjoying large reactive breasts (two of my main girlfriends needed to resort to breast reduction surgery because they had enormous boobs), as well as a proportionate and curvy body, with large and well fit buttocks. I prefer my girls to be shorter (like 160 cm or so, myself being 172 cm), sexually submissive, intelligent (I do have a preference for academia and female researchers), sexually secure, preferably entrepreneurial and self sufficient in spirit. Weight is not that important, with some chubby girls I have met being ultrasexy; nevertheless avoiding obesity of the II or III degree is best and when overweight, big boobs are generally a must. Be sexy rather than avoid being overweight! Note that I also enjoy elongated reactive boobs, and, occasionally also enjoy small boobs especially with pronounced very reactive tits as variety. It is of course a big advantage if the girl in question also factually enjoys stuff like BDSM in a submissive role for her – although this is not an absolute must (one of my long term main girlfriends was vanilla for example).

However, sexual attitude and behavior matters perhaps more than looks and metadata: maybe up to 40-50% of the sexorelational attractiveness. This means stuff like look, smile, movement (walking), general “way of being” (which I prefer to be warm and kind) and so on. In this regard I prefer the attitudes of persons born in winter or



shortly thereafter (February and March are so far the best months for girls I have had). An initial sad, dreamy or shy attitude of the girl can also be a killer-feature for me. Especially when making "large cartoon like eyes" when meeting me (it happened once, and we both were in awe of each other).

I generally don't care if the girl is rich or not (both have interesting sexual appeal for various forms of play), and I definitely don't care how many sexual partners she had before (in fact I prefer to avoid virgins in the Western-block). This goes both for sex and for love (including marriage).

In terms of age, I empirically found myself strongly sexually attracted to mainly to girls aged 19-23 inclusively. That is my target group (as with most men probably). Three very very attractive girls were also 14-15 when I met them (q_sinonim-obiectiv, tipa_de_pe_dealul_negru and MarcelaR), so I can say the segment 14-15 is also valid. In the segment 16-18 I met much fewer girls who were that much of a "Wow", but I suspect most sexy girls aged 19+ are also sexy when they were 16-18. Also, I did and would have enjoy some nice "milfs" in my lifetime (elderly women with sexy bodies and large breasts) who were like 35-45 years old. So its safe to say that my extended range is 13-45 (with lesser preference for 17-18 and 28-34). But the core interest is 19-23: even the girls I find sexy while younger or older, were or will be probably even better when aged 19-23. At least for me. Outside this range, its more about politics (advocating for the right of people to have sex) and potential "one-off" variety (ie. "have I ever tried that?") and hardly a handful of girls I might find attractive. Note also that in terms of age as well as other concrete arrangement, since, thank fate, I am well supplied with sex currently, I am able to wait 2-3 years for a girl "to become of age of consent" if need, where legal or other risk is high elsehow. In this I do want to stress out that as of 2024, age of consent for full sex is 14 years old in at least Germany, Austria, Portugal, Italy, Serbia, Albania, Brazil, Estonia, Hungary, it is lower in many Arab states, Japan and Iran (with no age of consent, except incidence of sexual puberty, in the former Soviet Union, revolutionary French Republic, Palestine and others), and in most other states no higher than 16. Also, "sex stuffs" except full penetrative acts are permitted from 12, 13 or 14 years old depending on jurisdiction.

Finally, my stance on sex can be summarized by the old saying: **"Most things in life are about sex. Sex itself is about Power."**

7. Are you a pedophile?



No. I do not have any primary nor secondary sexual interest in small children and no sexual interest at all in children who have not reached sexual puberty – thus, by the official definition and standards, I am not a pedophile. In fact, I generally (with exceptions of course) do not find small children sexually attractive at all. The ideal age for a sexual partner for me is a 19-21 year old girl. The extended range is 13-45: Over >95% of girls whom I find sexually attractive fall in this range. There are occasional astronomically rare exception of course (I saw some 50+ yo for example who are attractive, but never above 60).

There are of course some very attractive and normally minded young girls who are sexually attractive to me (and to almost any man for that matter), especially in the 14-18 years old range (particularly in 14-16 years old range, the 17-18 range they become arrogant and stupid generally, and also care less about being sexually attractive to others [taking it for granted], which makes them sexually repulsive to me).

I generally do not find any girl in the range 12-13 years old attractive. They have pimples, are sexually insecure and emotionally unstable – no fun at all.

I generally find girls younger than 12 way to young to be sexually attractive: not fully developed boobs nor body shape, too tiny and too “fragile” in bone structure. Younger than 8-9 they are totally unsexual (yuck!). Of course, some 8-11 yo might be dressed up sexually by someone and then presented in a sexual context for sex stuffs such as spanking, but then again this would be both even then astronomically rare to find such girl interesting and, even then, she would never ever be able to compete with a 14-16 year old, much less so with the “ideal age of consumption” of 19-21 year old. Also, I would not factually engage in sex stuffs even with someone under 12 in practice since major legal risks and not only exists both for me and for such a girl.

I might find a small number of girls aged below 12 (say <20%) as “cute kiddos”, with whom one can play and laugh (but with whom I would not be interested to do sex stuff), and a very very small percentage (less than <5%, but more accurately just 1 maybe 2 girls here and there..), whom I might consider sexual in some sex context like erotic spanking. And even with these, I would not be interested at all to have any kind of intercourse. Yuck! But, I with this very small sample I (like many self-confident men), would yes theoretically not exclude at all to do stuff like spanking, emotional play, and potentially maybe even receive hand jobs (although I have not met so far any girl from I could desire this sexually yet, I do not exclude it might occur). To get an understanding of what I mean, consider the following two videos of some 2 girls, apparently between 9 and 11 years old, who, to me, are somewhere at the threshold




between "cute kiddo" and the "spankable kiddo":

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gHJ8JKxm_KI&list=PLFeK21ahTpFQEdCev9RExajh2CXCinwuC&index=12 (GL Dance - Boom Boom)

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yZ5sOYB1EAA&list=PLFeK21ahTpFQEdCev9RExajh2CXCinwuC&index=13> (GL Dance - Con Calma)

For an example of a girl clearly in the "spankable" category, see here:



(see original music video at: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mHuj8amiJpw&list=PLFeK21ahTpFQEdCev9RExajh2CXCinwuC&index=15>)

I am sure most men would also find the three girls above around the same threshold (higher or lower) of sexiness as I do, although many would not admit it, for fear of violence against them (eg. via arrests, stigmatization and the like).

Also, again please kindly see my answer to question 6 above for details on what I in fact find attractive. For "concerned [stupid or not] parents" they can note that I do not



plan to do sex stuffs with anyone under 12 at this time nor in the foreseeable future. Also, where legal risk is high I do not plan to have sex with anyone under the age of consent for the jurisdiction of the act (so under 14-16 depending).

I do advocate for an open and free sexuality and that people of any age – most commonly after reaching sexual maturity (puberty) – should be able to relation romantically and sexually with others, including with adults. It is part of the policies I promote actively as part of my politics.

Also one must note that simply talking about sex with a young person, or even flirting (without showing porn images or sex acts in some jurisdictions), and potentially even hugging or kissing is NOT forbidden by law anywhere in the world as far as I know. Just so that you know.

8. Would you have sex with a child if that was legal?

Like I said, above, generally I do not find children sexually attractive. **But, yes, for those extremely rare exceptions I might find sexually attractive, YES, I would have sex with them, or at least engage in sex stuff, why not, if they intensely or insistentlly desired it AND only where it was legal and safe both to me and to the girls in question.**

Just as with any sexual or otherwise behavior. If it goes against local laws (eg. girl is below 12-16 years old depending on jurisdiction; in the Muslim world for example, as I had learned from nice Iraqi girl, you can also have sex with 9-12 years old, with approval of both her father and her uncle [also as Simonyan herself I think reminded us, in Chechnya, there are many cases of happy marriages at 12-13 years old]) or against local custom (eg. in some countries in Europe having sex with a high school pupil to whom you are a teacher – especially when she is below 18 years old, but even shortly after, or if you pay her money – leads to harassment of yourself) then, clearly I would not. Why risk it? 18 years old is young enough for me (like with for almost all men). And 19-20 years old are anyhow by far much more sexually attractive in the median (if only for being more sexually mature) than 9, 11, 13 or 16 yearolds. So why on earth would one risk it? Unless, of course, these small age groups are the only things with reach, as I explained in the (now declassified) **Report on 21st century multilateral state strategies for defeating imperialism and establishing a Multipolar World** and in the section "War on Sex" of the article "On the Functioning



of Society” (available here <https://reflectii-despre-societate.blogspot.com/2019/07/asupra-functionarii-societatii.html>).

Also, I would not have sex with someone below the legal age where they are allowed to have sex (mostly 14-16 depending on jurisdiction, but not lower than 9 and not above 18) without the implicit or explicit consent of at least one of their parents (and lack of explicit objection of the other). For many reasons, the main one is being polite to them (the parents), not to mention legal risk (or risk of stupidity on behalf of the girl in question later on in her life – like with some Epstein service providers). Also, to avoid appearing to seem “that disrespectful of a hotshot who has it his way just because he can and doesn’t taking anything else in consideration”.

The problem here is not about having sex with children.

The legislation and cultural stupidity in this regards is being used to reduce sexual contacts between 18+ yearolds. Especially that it is not really possible to tell, in about 20% of the cases, and in almost all of the relevant cases encountered in practice “in the wild”, by physiognomy alone, if a girl is 13 years old or 18 years old (or 19,20,21 for that matter). I had met a girl who was 13 years old at the time (tipa_de_pe_dealu_Negru in the registry of synonymouas) and who looked much more sexually developed – and acted much more mature both sexually and generally, than another girl I met, who was 22 at the time (Mihaela Pletea – not in the registry). In fact not even an ID check would do (since those can be faked or the girl might use the ID of a friend or sister of slightly older age). And the threshold of trust for a young 18+ girl to have sex with you is much lower than the threshold required to share ID document data with you (like full name, birthday, address, etc.). This is clearly used to do honey trapping and blackmail and the like. But, worse!!! It is used to deprive kind, beautiful, normally-minded people of sex with girls below like 23-24 years old. Of course, these “restrictions” do not apply to mafia man, bullies, goons, members of the Western hegemonic elite, or the acolytes thereof. I spoke about this in the section “War on Sex” in “On the Functioning of Society” here: <http://reflectii-despre-societate.blogspot.com/2019/07/asupra-functionarii-societatii.html> (in Romanian).

Also note that if such restrictions on age to start sexual life (like before 18 years old – factually leading to something like 23-24 years old de facto) were in place for the first 99,995% of humanity's existence (ie. before the last ice age 20,000 years ago), then humans would have become extinct. Life expectancy was like 26-30 years old then: there would have not been enough children produced to have humanity survive



(mortality was also much higher due to natural predators and lack of urban infrastructure) – much less so to “spread out and rule planet earth”.

9. Have you ever had sex or did other sexual stuff with a child?

Yes, but only within the law:

- **I heavily petted with a 13 year old girl (Diana B.) while I was 13 years old myself, while in secondary school, in class.** Yeah.. public play.. yuk... like I said, I don't like it - and didn't like it then.. but options where very limited at the time. Btw, I got the chance to sex (got nice handjob) from much, much sexier cousin of hers (Laura P. – very sexy!!), about 15 years later. So, mno.. .
- **I petted with while in bed (including touching of private parts) and almost received a hand job from a 14 year old girl (Raluca R.), when I was 15 years old myself, while on a highschool mountain trip.** I later got to cane her (first girl to be caned by me), when we were both like 20-21 years old. Also got to have sex with and cane a girlfriend of hers (Patricia M.), whom I met via her. Nice girls.
- **I had sex with a 17 years old (Adelina – Adeline I used to call her), knowing she was 17, while I was like 27.** Not only that (I mean intercourse), but I also did BDSM play with her (belt spanking), “spanking the hell out of her” before sex. But this occurred in Romania (where the age when you are allowed to have sex is 15 or 16 – was 14 or so in 1999, and the kept raising it, not sure what it is now, but surely no more than 16). And this was not paid sex (or any other forbidden category, like teacher-pupil), so it was legal.
- **I got a blowjob and got caned by a girl who might have been between 14-17 years old at the time, who acted as a sex worker.** Ultra sexy and normally-minded gypsy girl (in the Registry of Synonymouas with secondary indicative of synonymicity **MJxRo1**). This was a great evening circa August 20, 2019, in Bucharest. She was with **MJxRo2**, who in fact introduced her (**MJxRo1**) to me: first real time I had sex with two girls – and BDSM even!! (it happened twice before, including with my former long term girlfriend Alina V. – MSDPB in the Registry, and her girl friend Mihaela P., but to a much much smaller extent). **It was legal, because she only hinted that she “might be” a minor (below 18 years old) after “the evening was consumed” largely. Before then, I did not suspect at all she could be a minor.** Also, I think there is a 30% chance she was just actually freshly 18 years old, and just wanted to hint she were somewhat “a minor” to seem more “exotic” and get more money as a



result. Just for the record, both myself, **MJxRo1** and **MJxRo2** totally enjoyed ourselves on both occasions when we met – irrespective of any money having been transferred as payment for sex. So much, that, shortly before I departed for Russia on Aug 31st, 2019, **MJxRo1** called me to mention she totally enjoyed it, and would like to also be submissive to me (get caned herself). Nice girls. I hope I will meet them again (I lost their number).

Also, perhaps it is interesting to note that I was four times courted for sexual stuffs by girls younger than 10 years old (but did not follow through neither times – due to legal, stigma and illegitimate violence risks, not to mention that “they were too young to sexy enough” at the time, in order to “be worth the risk”):

1. Once in spring 2015 (while circa 30yo at the time myself), in my parents’ home town of Novaci, Romania by a cute and pretty 2nd grade girl (so about 8-9 years old), who wanted to be spanked on a rack (“pe capră” in Romanian) ☺. This was while having a funeral feast (“parastas” in Romainan) there. Cute and yes, sexy, kiddo.
2. Once at the Polovragi monestary in Polovragi, Romania, by the 2nd-grade daughter (so also 8-9 years old) of another guest, while in the presence of her mother ☺ (ie. ostentatiously sucking on a banana in a sexual manner, before her mother asked her to be more polite). This one was just boasting (ie. just teasing, no intention to follow-up even if I had “played in”, unlike #1 above). Her mother told me she was the 2nd grade, so I wanted to check and asked her how much was 7 x 8 (a hard one in the multiplication table).. She got shy and forgot the answer. Cute kiddo ☺.
3. On the Simon Bolivar airport in Venezuela, in 2020 (while 35 myself), the 5-6 year old daughter of some other passenger waiting for the CCS-IST flight, was so nicely, and sexily spreading herself over her mother’s lap in a sexual spanking position (you know, like bend back, hands the right way, on leg placed below the leg of the mother – and so on). This was in a different context. She only meant to show me that she liked spanking even though “she was a child”. And her mother, surprisingly “got it” and smiled at this and at our so.. discreet and subtle contactless communication and “play”. Also the nice and sexy kiddo felt like touching my head hair – funny situation how I had to pretend to be winding back to rest, so that she could reach my hair :)). Nice. And cute kiddo. I think she was not from Turkey, since her mother spoke perfect English.



4. On the public pool in Tineretului neighborhood in Bucharest, Romania, in August 2024 (when 39 myself), a very young girl (she claimed she was 13, but she looked younger than points 1-3 above) approached me while I was visiting the pool to sunbade and have a brief swim. She was there alone, only with an also young male cousin who did not intervene. She probably liked the fact I did like 4 longitudinal basic swims with little to now pause and decided "she wanted me". She opened up a conversation as I existed the pool, and then later came to my chair where I was waiting to dry up. She said she was 13, she already had had a boyfriend, that she already had had a boyfriend like my – my age, asked me if I was married or had children, came in very close physical proximity to me and even briefly touched my back and went on to "sell herself" as attractive. Given my political involvement and the hostile nature of the country of Romania, I unfortunately decided to simply try to ignore and just answer the questions and not continue the conversation. She was very perseverent nevertheless – in fact she decided to "change from her swimming suit" more or less overtly in front of me (in my direction of sight), deliberately letting her towel fall off to revel her body. She did have grown boobs, but small. Also she was fit – not obese at all. Then, she came again to my chair and tried to pick up the conversation again, and invited me to move to her chair saying "there is more sun here". I wished I was able to chat with her, at least to explain a few things like why I am not able to chat with her at the time.. but the situation was way to dangerous for both of us.. while there were very few bystanders and far away, they were all very curiously watching how the situation develops. I was barely able to mumble to her something like "You are really cool and so, but the world around us.. I can't continue the conversation with you, sorry... I hope you will not be discouraged by this in the future". I hereby apologize to her for not being braver and explaining more. She then reacted like "oh.. you understood I wanted you as a boyfriend.. my parents would spank the hell out of me if it happened"... to which I reacted just by being quite and them minding my business. In an ideal world, I would probably have chatted more with her, she probably really wanted a hug or so as well. Furthermore, to salute her nerves (tupeu) and style, I would have obliged with a spanking or sex stuffs (but not actual sex) if she insisted, assuming it was legal of course in such an ideal world (which in fact would be legal even in Romania there and there, if she were 14 years old or above: again, sex stuffs not sex). Also, talking, hugging and so one were theoretically legal even in that circumstance. However, with myself being anti-imperialistic politically involved, not only might.. the bounds of the law have been adversely exceeded in my case,



but also simply “being photographed” along such a young girl might have been used to discredit me later, especially in the eyes of civilians. If she ever somehow gets to read this, I hope she will understand why I was “such a chicken” back then. I wish her luck, and I truly, honestly and deeply hope that my rejection will not discourage her from having an open sexuality and openly approaching men (of any age) for sexual purposes, as she sees fit: I basically had no reasonable choice under the circumstances, kiddo!, sorry.

Finally, perhaps interesting to note that the children of the western elites are raised in the idea of an open sexuality, and do not face restrictions on sex. I remember in 2000 when on a summer “high society” party at Ghica Palace in Bucharest Romania (I was 15 years old at the time myself), how I spend some time “with the other kinds” (like between 5 and 16 years old all, both boys and girls). And when very subtly the subject of BDSM was brought up, and, in reply to something I said, the nice 5-7 year old girl asked me for details, to which I replied “You’ll find out about it when you will grow up more”.. to which she, with all the warmth and style (not only class) in the world, gave me class by replying literally: “Oh.. you mean just BDSM.. yeah.. that’s cool.. Victor [or something] is pretty hot on this one.”. Just so that you know how the elites raise their children, while you [and I am now addressing exclusively the idiots], are idiots. Then, several years down the line in highschool, Romainan elite colleague Alexandra told be about how she was having sex with another Romanian elite male adult (>18) colleague in the physics lab at school. Also, one of the most sexually attractive girls in school, another state daughter, Marcela was having sex with men aged 33-39 since the 10th grade at least for her own pleasure and preference (we were actually friends back then, but I never got to lay her, however we talked often), so while 15 years old (which was legal back then) – she had started school aged 6. Do note that these three cases are of children of elites – who DO love their own children, and if sex from a young age and sex education even before were detrimental, they would not do it to their own children much less so deliberately: no, it is in fact a benefit if being elite, in the Western block. Children of elites have sex shortly after becoming sexually active and do not answer before anyone with whom they fuck or not. So it should be with everyone. In fact, theoretically by law so it is already (when aged 14-16+), but practically.. many idiots in the world!, and of course, much more politically motivated hegemony.

10. Would you have sex with a small child, in situation where this would be illegal?



No. The risk to me and her would currently be too high. I would not do this. In fact, 18 years old is by far young enough for me, even where the legal age of consent is much lower such as 16 (US and most of EU), 14 (some EU and other countries) or 9 (some Arab countries). No need to go below 18 in terms of age for me at all.

Also, note that I strive to avoid to have sex or do any sex stuff with girls under 18 years old, even when legal! Where I had doubts, I asked for IDs – my beloved synonymouas Алиночка8, Валерия - Синева2, Малена – Мл1 for example had to send me photos of their internal Russian passports, “just to make sure they are over 18..”, for my own comfort. And this was in 2020-2021, when I haven’t yet even personally met Валерия - Синева2, Малена – Мл1 and even with Алиночка8 we did not yet do sex stuff.

Also, I know that in most jurisdictions (for sure in Russia, Germany, Romania, Armenia, Iran, China, Venezuela, and probably even in the USA) **it is perfectly legal to communicate, talk or even flirt with a minor**. In some jurisdictions (eg. in Romania) there is the added constraints of a stupid and evil nature when doing this like not exposing them to porn or sexually explicit content (as if they don’t find and consume porn from the internet themselves anyhow!! pfftt...!.. like 13-14 year old girls don’t watch porn!? I know for a fact that 40% of the porn viewers are female – I had a proposition for a software engineering contract with some online porn video streaming platform). Additionally, in the USA you are not allowed “to groom” minors for sex – obviously I suppose in the context of grooming them so that they have sex with you while they are minors.. For example doing something nice for them while they are 6-17, even in the hope that later when they turn 18+ they will have sex with you, or even marry you (like the case with Celine Dion and her husband who was a long time family friend), I am sure is in fact legal even in the USA. Also, simply being nice to a minor (sexy female, for example 13 years old) without any explicit plan to have her reciprocate with sex when she turns 16 or 18 – even if somehow she does this for whatever reasons (regardless if you had hoped this to happen or not) – is not formally illegal anywhere in the world. Of course, parents of under 14-16 year olds or so, if they (wrongly in Mircea's view) so choose, can keep their children at bay by restricting their physical movement (eg. unsupervised nights out) so as to prevent undesired entourage and sex in that context – nevertheless there is no and must not be obligation on thirds parties to support such policies.

As such, yes, I will **feel free to actually communicate and talk** to anyone including children, including in a loving or sexual manner (with the reasonable bounds of law!),



despite any interests or desires to the contrary. Of course – only if they (the small girls) deserve it, and only while I am confident they enjoy it. This doesn't mean I will do it practically neither in general nor on any specific occasion. **In fact, as detailed below above I was actively courted by very young female children 4 times in life and have always declined it.**

Also, please stand reminded that I DO NOT OFFER CONSENSUAL-NONCONSENT in any context, not even with consenting adults. Not even in terms of flirts. Stop it with this please (girls) – it can lead to sexual slander, which is an act of war.

Ultimately, like I said.. I don't have a primary (nor secondary) interest in young children. In fact, I do have an extensive and vivid interest in 20- to 20+ sexy girls. **But I am also not afraid of children – not even of very young and sexy girls.** And I will always feel free and actually talk with them, including on sexual topics, despite the idiocy and violence which surrounds them else-how: at least this much I can do – to reassure them there exist also some normally minded people in the world, just like them. Again, only with those whom I see fit to do so myself, in the exercise of a right of mine, not an obligation.

11. Do you create, seek or store child pornography?

No. I don't even have any idea where I might find such content. And buying, selling (and sometimes storing) it is a grave criminal offense in most jurisdictions. Why risk it? Again, 18+ years old is young enough for me. Also, when due to my being politically involved, in an exercise of a right of mine, not of an obligation, I generally have made active efforts to steer clear even of content might not be 100% clearly not child pornography. But sometimes, I just save whatever Google Images or Yandex Images returns (including by "clicking see full picture" link which redirects to porn sites, like the famous XHamster), and worry about the idiots and the enemy actions later. The law is meant to be interpreted in its most restricted (limited) scope, as per "in dubio pro erreta": making sure the legal nature of actions or inactions is clear to all idiots is a right, not an obligation. Catering to the sensibilities or deficiencies of these intellectually challenged, malevolent, rude or just interested people (ie. jealous, like some small girl from McDonalds Drumul Taberei, circa Sep-Oct 2015) is not mandatory: **Stand your ground.**



In fact I was often frustrated by the fact I could not find quality pornography depicting young women who are over 18 years old (so in the range 19-26). All that is out there is either 2-3 decades old, or obscene (not pornographic: not sexually arousing). Furthermore, for search queries like "young girl getting spanked" or "girl caned on bench" many results return are illustrations (hand drawings) or actual pictures of small girls, in sexually suggestive (sometimes even explicit in my view) contexts. I mean with the likes of [google.com](https://www.google.com), images.google.com, images.yandex.ru – so mainstream stuff – no "dark net" or the like (btw, how do you even get there?? I don't know a single URL of a dark-net site [but I do know you can connect to them only via Tor]).

Finally, note how sexually explicit content is being "pushed" to you deliberately by the search engines, or by SEO attacks on them. In the Western-block this is deliberate: they want to you to want to become a pedophile, so that they can later convict you and put you in jail, so that you are no longer a political risk to them. This is why the acolytes and "yes, you're the boss" weaklings (especially from police) are protected even when they commit rape against their clients (which some of them they regularly do, and this is a war crime), while libertarian and anti-hegemonic people are hunted down and ostracized at the very least.

12. Do you purchase, sell or distribute pornography?

I do not sell nor distribute any kind of pornography – neither for a fee, nor free of charge – special authorization is required for doing this commercially – and I am not into this business. I made money so far only from my profession as a software engineer and entrepreneur, and in the future I will also receive political donations. Also, I do not acquire any child pornography, and, while this is illegal, I would never ever ever pay for anything like this of course – like I said in point 10. above, why risk it?

I do however occasionally purchase regular pornography (but their content is now 2 decades old), only when in a jurisdiction where this is legal, of course (so in Egypt for example I did not do this – although I did not research it deeply if was legal there or not).

Finally and most importantly, I am now old enough, wealthy enough and actually in the prime time of my sexual attractiveness as a male both in terms of age (35-45) and in terms of self-confidence and "smoothness". Therefore, I generally prefer real-life sex and sex stuffs, and since circa 2020 I have watched much less porn than before.



13. Do you have an active sexual life?

Yes, I would say yes. I have had (counted) over 100 sexual partners (but below 200), a small fraction of which have become synonymous also 😊. And no more than 50% of these partners were sex workers (I think the the actual rate is like 35-40%). So I have had at least 50 sexual partners – girls with whom I had sex and/or did other sex stuff, who were not sex workers, and whom furthermore I did not pay at all for sex. I also had at least 6-10 sexual partners – girls, who wanted to relation with me exclusively for sexual purposes (unpaid I mean – neither by me, nor by them). I kept track because as a teenager (up until circa 20-21 years of age), I could not manage to have sex at all, and was very upset and became slightly marked by this [this was due to the actions of the Western hegemonic elites, and their policymaking with regards to Romania]. I think the above is pretty good for a white male software engineer, of my age (36 years old) and appearance (see below). And, of course, I continue to have an active sexual life, and things are getting better and better for me in this regards. I last had sex circa 1 week ago. And both the girl (YMj Metr 1 Diana) and myself really enjoyed ourselves. To brag a little, she said: "I told you I would kill you [na: in bed], but instead you killed me." Nice girl. Wonderfully round big boobs.



Update as of 2024: Note that in 2021-2024 I did have sex and sex stuffs with 10-20 new girls, including a female active duty police women :). Counted.

14. Are you satisfied with your sex life?

No. The median quality (sexual attractiveness) of girls whom I lay and even more so of those whom I cane is too low – although a few exceptions who are quite sexy. Also the effort and time involved in arranging sex and sex stuffs with either former or new partners is too large. But my sex life is also good enough for me to say that I do not have reason to complain about it either, at the moment.

I am well supplied on this market in general. I would rate my sex life 3 stars / 5 overall (for the entirety of my sex life, not for any particular sex partner).

Where I feel a lacking is love.



15. Do you masturbate?

Yes. I think about 30% of my sexual need qualitatively (circa 50% quantitatively) is currently covered in this fashion. And not because I could not get a girl when I felt like having sex. In most places I visited in my life, everywhere here on Earth, there are girls who would be happy to have sex with me for free. And, given my well paid profession at the moment, when I have a contract running, I can always have a sex worker come over [in jurisdictions where buying sex is not illegal of course, like Russia, Romania, Germany, Armenia, etc.; in the other jurisdictions, especially the USA it is more complicated and you need to be careful: as the Microsoft execs from Seattle, WA learned a few years ago]. But I am not always in the disposition to meet new people, nor do I always have the time for it. And I am not a fan of the "5-minute quicky". When I have somebody over, or visit them myself, I want to have myself prepared to spend at least 2-3 hours with them.

And no, I do not feel at all guilty when I masturbate. Not in the slightest.

Also note that proportion of masturbation to all sex stuffs contacts has decreased noticeably since 2020 (when 35 years old), due to higher quality of sex partners mainly.

16. Are you a law abiding person? Does the law matter to you?

I have the highest regard for the principle of the rule of law, but will feel a duty to respect only the just laws, and for the other laws, only to the extent they are reasonable. I do study the criminal codes of countries where I plan longer term stays for example (where such codes are available, unlike for example in Saudi Arabia or Ireland), to make sure I comply with their provisions (or avoid the visit if unacceptable to me).

I will generally respect all penal (criminal) laws of the jurisdictions where I am found (including those I know or believe are stupid, evil, hegemonic or the like). I avoid jurisdictions where they have criminal laws incompatible with my long term stay there (eg. in the US where prostitution, and, worse-so buy sex is banned in most states).

I do not respect grossly abusive, hegemonic or absurd laws: for example if any restrictive measures under the pretext of discovery of the new mild pathogen Covid19 (less dangerous than the common flu) became a matter of criminal law, I will not feel compelled to respect them. Also I do not agree with and do not feel compelled to respect Rothschild and the rest-imposed so called US, UK and EU "sanctions", nor



against Russia nor against any other country while outside their territories physically. Also I will not respect forced vaccination nor similar measures should they every become a matter of law. For the avoidance of doubt, note that while I consider restrictions on consensual sex life as immoral, wrong and inopportune, and advocate their abolishment, where they are a matter of law (law!, not “internal work rules” or “this is how we do/don't things here”), I will strive to respect most of them – for example sex age restrictions, so long as they are reasonable (so like 14-16 yo) or if my stay is short. I will not observe any absurd sex age restrictions if they target 18+ yearolds (even if contrived under such as measures as “sexual exploitation” or “vulnerable persons”), unless my stay is very very short. Also for the avoidance of doubt, note that not only will I respect anti-rape (when rape is defined as lack of actual consent at the time) and anti-slavery laws, but I also condemn both rape and slavery of any kind (including sexual slavery). In fact both rape and sexual slavery (when not consented, such as in sex games) constitute Dominance which is forbidden strictly by my ideology, which I promote, seek enacted politically and myself follow.

I also selectively respect administrative and counterventional law of the territories where I am located, under the reserve of wisdom. Typically I will feel compelled to respect administrative law only when it provides for administrative detention as (alternative) measure (such as disobeying the lawful orders of an officer) or when the rules actually pertain to reasonable cultural social coexistence standards (like quite hours between like 22 and 6).

I also typically strive to respect cultural norms and customs of the place where I am based (eg. I will be happy to wear long-sleeve trousers in Iran, or respect Ramadan in places where such are a matter of universal practice). I will of course not respect absurd norms: I will not wear covid masks, I will make the cross sign when I pass by an orthodox church or not as I see fit, and I will not accept people being violently abused around me physically or verbally even if this is or is claimed to be “a matter of local custom”. Also, I respect such cultural norms and customs (which are not part of codified criminal law), in exercise of a right of mine, not of an obligation or duty, and only as an act of courtesy to the local people. This respect does not go beyond the reasonable and it does not need to be universal neither in scope, space nor time.

I also reject extraterritoriality of law. I will not respect US law outside the territory of the USA, as it is recognized by the United Nations. Similarly to any other extraterritorial provisions of any law, such as German law, Romanian law, or Palestinian law.



Also, I believe in the concept called Universal Law. That is essentially the law of common sense. It was used by Israel in the early 2000's or so to convict a former nazi criminal under the so called "piracy charter" despite any legal provision in Israeli law allowing for his trial and execution. Similarly, I hold Universal Law above all contrary dispositions, including those of the International Law. For example, hegemony and genocide are illegal under Universal Law. They cannot be decriminalized by neither national law (eg. nazi german), nor international law (eg. in the context of human rights violations amounting to genocide, under the umbrella of the World Health Organization, in 2020 and 2021 related to 'covid19 pandemic'). Also, self-defense against hegemony and oppression cannot be criminalized.

17. Have you ever been convicted of a crime?

No. But I briefly received court imposed, compulsory medical treatment in 2023 for a good couple of months, in Germany, related to having sent undesired emails in 2020-2022 to Romanian girl Anca who was living in Germany, while on mental vacation (considered a crime of "stalking" in Germany in 2022, but not in 2020, nor in Russia [from where the emails were sent], nor in Romania), in a highly politicized situation most likely precipitated by the United States. This incident will shortly form the object of a symbolic appeal to the International Court of Human Rights and Abuses, at which time all the details will be made public. While I do consider sending undesired communications generally inappropriate and to be avoided (and pointless also), the concrete details of this situation make the measure taken grossly exaggerated and politically charged.

One can correctly say that I got Assanged in Germany in 2023, while transiting through there.

Other than the above I never had any other runin-s with criminal law and was never convicted of crime, nor was I accused of any crime in court.

18. Have you ever been arrested?

Only once. It happened in late 2022 in Germany. This relates to the politically charged situation of getting Assanged in Germany in 2023, which I detailed a bit more in point 17 above.

Other than that, no.



I was also momentarily administratively retained for 3 hours in 2020 and in 2022, after I made a complaint against terrorist activity in Nizhny Novgorod (once about mafia visits under my window and once about people yelling at me on a train to not speak before 6 am). On this matter I also want to stress that my understanding of the legal term of "terrorist" is that of a person who via acts of illegitimate physical violence and the further threat thereof compels others to comply with his or her demands. This is more restrictive than the US legal definition, which merely requires essentially "the threat of violence being used to extort benefits for himself or herself, or others" for someone to qualify as a terrorist. And it is much less restrictive than the Russian legal understanding of the term, which is generally limited only to armed, organized groups undertaking terrorism as a main activity (like the former – now dead – terrorists in Chechenia, or some violent mafia groups; but not like someone merely "putting the knife at someone else's throat, or threatening to beat him", outside the context of a formal terrorist organization). This difference in legal interpretation of the term gave rise to some misunderstanding, resulting in the above 3 hours momentary administrative detention: which, I stress, implied no guilt of my own. I was just obligated to remain there, until the matter of my complaint was clarified sufficiently well. Nevertheless, in retrospect, I do agree that I should not have "exaggerated" matters to the point of making them a matter of the FSB this way. A simple conflict should not always fall within the competence of the special security forces of a state.

19. Have you ever been in jail?

I was briefly (circa 1 month) in pretrial detention in Germany in 2023, before I was moved to a hospital. It happened in late 2022 in Germany. This relates to the politically charged situation of getting Assange in Germany in 2023, which I detailed a bit more in point 17 above. Note that I was not found criminally responsible for a crime at that occasion and was in fact instead given compulsory medical treatment for a good couple of months. While in detention I had fun sending funny "improvement recommendations" to the facility comandament, socializing with neighbors (I shared the room with a thief who had stolen 1 million EUR from his company), and not having a care in the world. True, I was on vacation at the time, and the politically charged situation did prove much more difficult to resolve than I was expecting at the time. Nevertheless I had solved it, am long free and will continue my political activity, keep true to my moral values and **continue to stand my ground** as if nothing happened.

Other than that, no, never.



20. Have you ever committed a criminal offense?

Yes. I used to routinely do stuff like copy pirated movies from torrents when I was younger (now I just use online streaming services – not only the paid ones, if necessary). And, although not a crime at the time, circa 2003-2004, I committed hacking against a private tutor (Mugurel Andreica) with whom me and my accomplices (Victor Costan and the next year Andrei Matei) were doing preparations for the Romanian National Olympiad in Informatics. I so managed to obtain circa 3-4 problem statements for the Romanian National Informatics Olympiad (ROI2003 and 2004) and 1 problem statement for the municipal stage of this Olympiad (which was actually harder due to the competition). Although hacking was not yet made illegal in Romania, I like to think that I committed a criminal offense. The procedure was executed both in 2003 and in 2004 under the best practices of spy craft, including by blackmailing the victim's sister into remaining silent about her suspicions of us having installed home-built spyware (home built to avoid anti-virus screens) on Mugurel's computer before his arrival. Surprisingly enough though, this practice of exfiltration of problem setters for the Romanian Olympiads was quite widespread at those times. A good friend of mine, Dan Ghinea (2 IOI Gold medals – and rightly by his own merit!) also managed to obtain 2 problem statements for ROI2003, via an independent operation of his own. Also, as I later learned, not even us three weren't the only ones with such successful operations (if albeit the most fruitful).

Via my anti-western and anti-hegemonic political activity from within Romania – including transmitting of information legally classified in Romania as a state secret to Russian journalists and secret services – I like to think I also committed espionage (not "treason" as improperly named in the penal code – since I was never "with" the Romanian side. Never. I betrayed no one. I was always and am an enemy combatant to the current Western puppet regime in Bucharest). Unfortunately, the Romanian Prosecutor's Office did not feel my deeds were criminal when I asked them to accuse me of treason, in 2014 (with the purpose to generate media coverage and bring the topic of normalizing relations with Russia on the front debate). Instead, they just resorted to have some police "beat me up" while in my own apartment (where they were found themselves under some pretext [real pretext, but still a pretext], without any warrant or legal authority) – where they voluntarily escorted me in order to get dressed, and which they refused to live at my order to them to do so, despite being under the legal obligation to respect it (they did not have a warrant, and did not observe the flagrant commission of any criminal offense). Idiots. 😊



Other than the above, nothing of any significance. In fact, I don't even remember anything else now, even though trying hard.. Ah.. yes.. I once stole a high-resolution webcam from my former employer Cegeka – so that I could use it for web calls later on as an individual contractor. Although, robbery would be the more appropriate word, since I noticed they figured it out what I was doing putting the camera in my bag, while I was doing it – maybe they were too afraid to ask me to stop ☺)). Web Camera market price – circa 100\$ (it was a high resolution Logitech camera – very good! Hard to find online elsewhere in Romania at the time). Note that at the time I simply considered the gesture as taking a sugvenier from a place I visited – had not pondered that in fact it might be theft.

And yes.. in 2015, I attempted to steal 100 RON (circa \$25) worth of gasoline, by leaving a petrol station in Romania's central mountainous region (near Sibiu) without paying, while in a state of necessity. However, when the kind lady started yelling after me to come back and pay, I abandoned my initial "heist plan". She was so distress that the owner of the gas station (a Petrom or Rompetrol station) would force her to pay the 100 RON herself by forcefully subtracting it from her own salary (which btw, would have been the real heist since the loss from theft would be to the company, as "risk of doing business", neither the employees [nor customers] not being liable for such) – and the Romanian law clearly provides against this explicitly]), that the police when they arrived they decided to pay up the outstanding amount themselves, on my behalf (I had no money at all at the time – neither cash, nor in bank, nor anywhere else – it was during one of the 3-4 brief periods of time in my life, when I was totally broke). I was during a time of vacation. The police did not feel I committed any criminal offense, and after a brief tour of their station in Sibiu, they politely drove me back to my car (a nice Audi A3 I had a the time – very good brand, Audi).

Other than the above I committed no crimes. Furthermore, I committed no breaches of criminal law, not in any of the over 40 countries I visited so far in my life. Again, like I said, I reject extraterritoriality in application of law, except of course, with due regard to Universal Law.

Also I was never accused of having committed any crime anywhere in the world, except as per the one time points 17-19 above.

Do note that in the case of the points 17-19 above, I did NOT in fact commit any crime: the material acts of sending those emails in 2020-2022 where done from jurisdictions (Russia, Armenia) which did not criminalize such acts, even when undesired by the recipient. There is no crime of "stalking" in Russia. Nevertheless, I do



agree that such are pointless and inappropriate acts. I was on a vacation during those times. Also, the Anca girl in question in fact never replied to my emails nor contacted me via any other channels to demand that I stopped writing her, in fact she never replied back at all. As such, due to incidence of vacation, while on vacation, I had believed she might be sequestrated and unable to respond :).

Note that the situation with points 17-19 above will form the object of a symbolic case against Germany to the International Court of Human Rights and Abuses at which time all relevant details will be disclosed.

For the avoidance of doubt, note that the politically charged experience of points 17-19 did not change me in anyway, nor the voracity of my defending libertarian principles and rights, nor my open sexuality, nor my open policy about flirting and having (obviously consensual) romantic and sexual relationship with girls, nor about my continued rejection of extraterritoriality of law. Furthermore, there was no crime in factuality committed there.

Overall, do note that I have the highest regard for the principle of rule of law, and **I am in fact a very law abiding citizen**. I am libertarian however and actively so, and that of course may "stir some water" here and there, especially due to my policy of **stand your ground** on topics of liberty, especially sexual liberties. But even considering that, and even considering mental vacation, I do not commit breaches of criminal law. Furthermore, I have a strongly **non-violent personality** and **never threw a punch** in my entire life, not even while on vacation – much less so do anything physically graver. I also do not order killings or such, preferring to avoid even political assassinations. While on vacation, I do sometimes issue threats. The above discussion serves to illustrate clearly the "kind of stuff" I might do – in the sense of lack of any danger in them. I included them exhaustively and openly precisely for the reader to form an informed opinion of all aspects, and not fall prey to politically motivated manipulations and intoxications regarding myself.

Of course, political activity which may be criminalized in theory or in practice abusively (such as with illegal persecution of WikiLeaks founder Julian Assange and of Telegram founder Pavel Durov). So for political crimes (including such as "espionage" or "treason" against any Western-block country, including Romania), I feel no obligation to respect the law.

21. Are you a good person?



Yes. I am deeply and immensely good. And furthermore, there is absolutely not a single trace of evil in me. Not even in the slightest. Furthermore, I practically always and without exception act legitimately. So not only am I Good, but I am also just – and practice my own “teachings” in this regard.

Also, I am physically calm, quiet most of the time and I am appreciated as having a pleasant, accomodating personality by most people, most of the time. I am also very tolerant and forgiving for most current stuff. It is very hard to actually annoy me to the point of wishing you harm, much less so to the point of actually seeking to enact it. So for example some physical discussion with Russian neighbors in 2020, some cunnery by former school colleague Claudiu Dumitrescu, and others, were generally overlooked from the onset even. What really annoys me is racketeering mafia and what I do not tolerate at all is violent oppression of others. Also, in case you want to “bully” or elsehow make a public impression of superiority against me, particularly using physical force or action, then I will bite: 2 persons from Nizhny mafia learned this the hard way by going to prison for slapping my face once, after I had warned them like 4-5 times to stop. Two more had to leave Russia. Then the other Mafia folks become much friendlier and even gave me the “*мы не трогать*” (“him you don't touch”) status in front of others – but the 4 who didn't want to stop or learn the easy way, they had it. So that is my limit: violent physical bullying. Also, while on vacation, I might issue threats if you annoy me.. those can be safely ignored, and I often forget about issuing them even before the vacation is over.

I do make friends easily (although deep connections are very very rare), and in Russia for example I was always surrounded by nice people who appreciated my presence. In Romania also, I am a valued and current participant in evening gatherings, including to play Mafia social game and chat – including in 2024+. Also, I am generally liked in circles of business and wealthy people and do enjoy their company myself: this happens in the context of business events world-wide and at the poker tables in Romania. With business and state elites though, I am not universally liked at all.. in fact, among circles of powerful people and generally in professional matters, I tend to split the group in terms of opinion on me: some loving me, some being strongly against me. The latter relates to my libertarian politics and to my natural high self-esteem and occasional arrogance, with some lesser extent to prior vacationing. However, overall one can correctly summize that I am generally liked.

22. Is there anything else you would like to add for your readers?



I invite them to check out <http://matsoft.ro/> and particularly the sections on the **Better World Project**, and **Beletristics**.

They can also check out the **Permanent Digulescian Authority** and are strongly encouraged to read at least "On the Functioning" of society here: <https://reflectii-despre-societate.blogspot.com/2019/07/asupra-functionarii-societatii.html> [RO].

23. Anything else?

I haven't fallen in love with a new girl in a rather long while. I hope that happens soon.

The personal and energy benefits of such a happening are extraordinary.

24. What is the connection between your answer to the prior question above and the topic of this document?

There is absolutely no connection. The reply above is completely off-topic.